# Michigan Department of Treasury 496 (02/06) Auditing Procedures Report Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended and P.A. 7

					IG P.A. 71 01 1919	, as amenue				Country			
Local Unit of Government Type			□ <b>○</b> 45	Local Unit Na	ame	County							
	Count al Yea	•	□City	∐Twp	UVillage Opinion Date	Other		Date Audit Report Submitte	d to State				
1 1500	1 Cd	i LIIU			Opinion Date			Date Addit Neport Submitte	a to otate				
We affirm that:													
We a	re ce	ertifie	ed public ac	countants	s licensed to p	ractice in	Michigan.						
					erial, "no" resp ments and rec			osed in the financial statem	ents, includ	ding the notes, or in the			
	Check each applicable box below. (See instructions for further detail.)												
1.			All required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary.										
2.	☐ There are no accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/unrestricted net assets (P.A. 275 of 1980) or the local unit has not exceeded its budget for expenditures.												
3.			The local	unit is in	compliance wi	th the Unit	form Chart of	Accounts issued by the De	partment o	f Treasury.			
4.			The local	unit has a	adopted a bud	get for all	required fund	S.					
5.			A public h	nearing on	the budget w	as held in	accordance v	with State statute.					
6.					not violated the ssued by the I			t, an order issued under the e Division.	Emergeno	y Municipal Loan Act, or			
7.			The local	unit has r	not been delind	quent in di	stributing tax	revenues that were collecte	ed for anoth	ner taxing unit.			
8.			The local	unit only	holds deposits	/investme	nts that comp	oly with statutory requirement	nts.				
9.								s that came to our attention sed (see Appendix H of Bu		I in the <i>Bulletin for</i>			
10.			that have	not been	previously cor	mmunicate	ed to the Loca			uring the course of our audit If there is such activity that has			
11.			The local	unit is fre	e of repeated	comments	from previou	ıs years.					
12.			The audit	opinion is	S UNQUALIFIE	ED.							
13.					complied with one principles (C		or GASB 34 a	as modified by MCGAA Star	tement #7 a	and other generally			
14.			The board	d or cound	cil approves al	l invoices	prior to paym	ent as required by charter of	or statute.				
15.			To our kn	owledge,	bank reconcili	ations tha	t were review	ed were performed timely.					
If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission.  I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects.													
We	have	e en	closed the	followin	g:	Enclose	d Not Requir	red (enter a brief justification)					
Fina	ancia	ıl Sta	tements										
The	lette	er of	Comments	and Reco	ommendations								
Oth	er (D	escrib	e)										
Certi	fied P	ublic A	Accountant (Fi	rm Name)				Telephone Number					
Stree	et Add	ress						City	State	Zip			
Auth	orizinç	g CPA	Signature	<del></del>	ean Jouns	, F	Printed Name	1	License Nu	umber			

Financial Report June 30, 2006

	Contents
Report Letter	I
Management's Discussion and Analysis	2-5
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets	6
Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets	7
Statement of Cash Flows	8
Notes to Financial Statements	9-14

#### Plante & Moran, PLLC



1111 Michigan Ave. East Lansing, MI 48823 Tel: 517.332.6200 Fax: 517.332.8502 plantemoran.com

#### Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Trustees

East Lansing - Meridian Water and
Sewer Authority

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of East Lansing - Meridian Water and Sewer Authority (the "Authority") as of June 30, 2006 and 2005 and for the years then ended, as listed in the table of contents. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of East Lansing - Meridian Water and Sewer Authority at June 30, 2006 and 2005 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The management's discussion and analysis (identified in the table of contents) is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplemental information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management, regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Plante & Moran, PLLC

October 18, 2006



#### **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

#### **Using this Annual Report**

This annual report consists of the statement of net assets, the statement of revenue, expenses, and changes in net assets, and the statement of cash flows. Along with the footnotes, they provide detailed financial information concerning the Authority. This section, the management's discussion and analysis, is intended to provide an overview of the Authority's financial condition, result of operations, and other key information.

#### **Financial Overview**

In analyzing the Authority's financial position, it is important to recognize the mission of the Authority. From a financial perspective, the Authority's core objective is to manage the long-term costs of providing purified water to the municipalities. In essence, the Authority acts as a conduit for its two municipalities. The amounts charged to the municipalities approximate the operating costs incurred by the Authority in providing purified water. The key financial statistics for the Authority, therefore, relate to measurement of the ability to reduce total costs to the municipalities (as opposed to the ability to accumulate financial resources).

	 2006	 2005	 2004
Total operating expenses	\$ 2,655,356	\$ 2,923,127	\$ 2,447,101
Total water production (in thousands of gallons)	2,303,642	2,364,630	2,385,590
Cost per thousand gallons	\$ 1.15	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.03

The cost per thousands of gallons was lower in 2006 than in 2005 because operating costs were lower. Through 2005, the cost of spent lime removal was recorded when incurred. Removal of spent lime was conducted only once every three years. Beginning in 2006, one-third of the estimated three-year cost was accrued, which contributed to lower operating expenses in 2006 and decreased the cost per thousands of gallons from 2005.

#### **Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)**

The Authority's total net assets decreased by \$565,839 from the prior year and by \$534,827 from 2004 to 2005. The decrease from 2005 to 2006 was caused by a reduction to assets of \$546,582 and an increase in liabilities of \$19,257. The decrease from 2004 to 2005 was caused by a reduction to assets of \$828,405 and a reduction of liabilities of \$293,578.

	2006		2005		2004
Assets					
Capital assets	\$ 7,007,	824 \$	7,362,010	\$	7,650,277
Other assets	827,	995	1,020,391		1,560,529
Total assets  Liabilities	7,835, 396,		8,382,401 377,107		9,210,806
Net Assets Invested in capital assets - Net of related debt Unrestricted	7,007, 431,		7,362,010 643,284		7,290,556 1,249,565
Total net assets	<b>\$ 7,439,</b>	455 <u>\$</u>	8,005,294	\$ 8	3,540,121

The total assets of the Authority decreased \$546,582 from one year ago, and \$828,405 from 2004 to 2005. Assets were reduced primarily because of current year depreciation in the amount of \$412,831. Assets were reduced from 2004 to 2005 because 2005 was the third year of the spent lime maintenance project and cash contributed in previous years was expensed to pay for the removal of spent lime. As the municipalities desire to keep their operating contributions consistent from year to year, the municipalities contribute annually to support the costs of the project rather than contributing every third year.

The increase in liabilities of \$19,257 was a result of a reduction in the amount due to municipalities, offset by an amount accrued for spent lime removal. In the past, amounts paid for the removal of spent lime were expensed when incurred, every third year. However, due to the municipalities' desire to accurately report expenditures in the period of use, an accrual was posted during fiscal year 2006 to expense one-third of the aggregate estimated spent lime removal expense to be incurred during fiscal year 2008. The decrease in liabilities from 2004 to 2005 of \$293,578 was a result of the elimination of a bond liability as the bonds were paid in full by June 30, 2005.

## **Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)**

Intergovernmental billings are the contributions from the municipalities to fund operating expenses. Any excess intergovernmental billings contributed to the Authority are reimbursed back to the municipalities and are included in nonoperating expenses. With the exception of the effect of the spent lime removal project and any allocation of existing fund balance, the operating expenses and intergovernmental billings should have a direct relationship. In 2006, intergovernmental billings decreased by 6 percent and operating expenses decreased by 9 percent. The accrual of one year of spent lime removal in 2006 compared to the expense in 2005 of three years' spent lime removal caused operating expense to decrease in the current year. Also, net loss was increased as the Authority elected to reappropriate equity from prior years to reduce intergovernmental billings and avoid a large return of excess contributions to municipalities. In 2005, intergovernmental billings decreased 4 percent and operating expenses increased by 19 percent. Since spent lime was removed in 2005, this affected both operating expenses and net income. Because the contributions for the removal of spent lime were spread over three years, but the cost was fully recognized in 2005, operating expense increased significantly in 2005, which caused a net loss for that year.

		2006	 2005		2004
Intergovernmental billings	\$	2,215,342	\$ 2,357,986	\$	2,460,440
Other operating revenue		295,625	284,130		360,726
Operating expenses		2,655,356	2,923,127		2,447,101
Net (loss) income from operations		(144,389)	(281,011)		374,065
Nonoperating expense		(421,450)	 (253,816)		(210,816)
Net (loss) income	<u>\$</u>	(565,839)	\$ (534,827)	<u>\$</u>	163,249

Nonoperating expense was higher in 2006. Since bond debt was paid off in 2005, there were no debt contributions from municipalities to offset depreciation expense in 2006, causing a higher expense.

#### **Capital Asset and Debt Administration**

The Authority incurred costs in fiscal years 2002 and 2001 related to the construction of two storage facilities and interconnect sites by the Board of Water and Light. These interconnect sites will allow the Board of Water and Light to provide water production to the Authority. There was no construction in 2006 or 2005 related to the interconnect sites. The Authority has plans to complete one additional interconnect site with the Board of Water and Light in the near future.

The Authority has no definite plans to incur debt financing in the near future.

## **Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)**

#### **Economic Factors and Next Year's Rates**

The expected production of water by the Authority for the next fiscal year should remain consistent to current year production. As a result, the related operating expenses and amounts contributed by the municipalities should not substantially change.

#### **Contacting the Authority's Management**

This financial report is intended to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors with a general overview of the accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, we welcome you to contact Jill Martinez, East Lansing - Meridian Water and Sewer Authority, at 517-319-6875.

# **Statement of Net Assets**

	June 30			
		2006		2005
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents administered by the				
City of East Lansing (Note 2)	\$	539,359	\$	729,593
Investments (Note 2)		245,283		235,205
Inventory		33,780		39,525
Receivable due from the State of Michigan		9,573		16,068
Capital assets (Note 3)		7,007,824		7,362,010
Total assets		7,835,819		8,382,401
Liabilities				
Accounts payable		74,337		63,801
Accrued payroll and related items		78,762		67,998
Other accrued liabilities (Note 8)		200,000		-
Due to municipalities		43,265		245,308
Total liabilities		396,364		377,107
Net Assets				
Invested in capital assets - Net of related debt		7,007,824		7,362,010
Unrestricted		431,631		643,284
Total net assets (Note 7)	<u>\$</u>	7,439,455	<u>\$</u>	8,005,294

# Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended June 30		
	2006	2005	
Operating Revenue			
Intergovernmental billings from sale of water:			
City of East Lansing	\$ 975,240	\$ 1,037,514	
Meridian Township	1,240,102	1,320,472	
Contribution from municipalities for BWL contract (Note 4)	259,972	251,283	
State wellhead grant	35,653	32,847	
Total operating revenue	2,510,967	2,642,116	
Operating Expenses			
Salaries and wages	616,364	592,159	
Fringe benefits	182,038	181,072	
Pension (Note 5)	50,190	44,419	
Utilities	364,638	373,421	
Operating supplies	323,619	270,677	
Purchase of water from BWL	386,665	370,083	
Grant expenses	35,653	32,847	
Repairs and maintenance	333,776	264,735	
Outside services	32,676	17,739	
Rentals	22,627	21,268	
Administrative fees	25,000	25,000	
Insurance	68,086	68,717	
Communications	9,269	8,725	
Spent lime removal (Note 8)	200,000	648,200	
Miscellaneous	4,755	4,065	
Total operating expenses	2,655,356	2,923,127	
Operating Loss	(144,389)	(281,011)	
Nonoperating Revenue (Expenses)			
Interest income	25,523	17,964	
Contributions from municipalities - Payment of principal			
and interest on bonds	-	380,585	
Return of excess billings to municipalities	(29,708)	(227,490)	
Depreciation	(412,831)	(410,731)	
Loss on disposal	(4,434)	-	
Interest on bonds		(14,144)	
Total nonoperating expenses	(421,450)	(253,816)	
Net Loss	(565,839)	(534,827)	
Net Assets - Beginning of year	8,005,294	8,540,121	
Net Assets - End of year	<u>\$ 7,439,455</u>	\$ 8,005,294	

#### **Statement of Cash Flows**

	Year Ende	ed June 30
	2006	2005
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Cash received from customers	\$ 2,452,101	\$ 2,468,875
Cash received from grants	35,653	32,847
Cash payments to employees for services rendered	(787,638)	(767,814)
Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services	(1,842,716)	(2,161,151)
Net cash used in operating activities	(142,600)	(427,243)
, -	(112,000)	(127,213)
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities		(222 (12)
Principal and interest paid on long-term debt	- (42.070)	(380,615)
Payments for the acquisition or construction of capital assets	(63,079)	(122,464)
Collection from municipalities for debt service		380,585
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	(63,079)	(122,494)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Interest received on investments	25,523	17,964
Purchase of investments	(10,078)	(2,327)
Net cash provided by investing activities	15,445	15,637
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(190,234)	(534,100)
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of year	729,593	1,263,693
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of year	\$ 539,359	\$ 729,593
Reconciliation of Operating Loss to Net Cash from Operating Activities		
Operating loss	\$ (144,389)	\$ (281,011)
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash used in operating activities:	+ (:::,=::)	+ (==:,=::)
Return of excess billings to municipalities	(29,708)	(227,490)
Changes in assets and liabilities:	( , ,	, , ,
(Increase) decrease in inventory	5,745	(2,030)
Decrease in receivable due from Meridian Township	, -	16,331
(Increase) decrease in receivable due from State of Michigan	6,495	(5,906)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	10,536	(9,225)
Increase in accrued payroll and related items	10,764	5,417
Increase in other accruals	200,000	· -
Increase (decrease) in due to municipalitites	(202,043)	76,671
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>\$ (142,600)</u>	<u>\$ (427,243)</u>

There were no significant noncash capital and related financing or investing activities during the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

## Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006 and 2005

#### **Note I - Significant Accounting Policies**

The East Lansing - Meridian Water and Sewer Authority (the "Authority") was jointly incorporated by the City of East Lansing and Meridian Township (the "Municipalities") for the purpose of obtaining financing to construct water processing and purification facilities and selling purified water to the Municipalities.

Contributions from the Municipalities for the acquisition of capital assets are credited to nonoperating revenue. The Municipalities' share of the Authority's operating costs is based on their respective annual water consumption. Depreciation, which is allocated in proportion to the Municipalities' consumption percentages in effect at the time the assets were purchased, is not included as a reimbursable operating cost (see Note 3).

**Basis of Accounting** - The Authority utilizes the accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Private-sector standards of accounting issued prior to December 1, 1989 are generally followed, unless those standards conflict with standards of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board; the Authority has elected not to follow private-sector standards issued after November 30, 1989.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents** - The Authority considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents.

**Investments** - Investments are comprised of certificate of deposits with an original maturity of more than three months and are stated at fair value. At June 30, 2006, \$200,000 of total investments is identified to cover spent lime expenses (see Note 8).

**Inventories** - Inventories are valued at cost, on a first-in, first-out basis, which approximates market value.

**Property, Plant, and Equipment** - Property, plant, and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives, which range from 10 to 40 years, except for wells that have an estimated useful life of 80 years.

**Return of Reserve to Municipalities** - Pursuant to a decision by the board, the Authority reviews the contributions by the respective Municipalities. The contributions are analyzed, and a return to the Municipalities in excess of operating expenses occurs.

## Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006 and 2005

#### **Note I - Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

**Use of Estimates** - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Reclassifications** - Certain amounts presented in the prior year data have been reclassified in order to be consistent with the current year's presentation.

#### **Note 2 - Deposits and Investments**

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The local unit is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

The Authority has designated two banks for the deposit of its funds. The investment policy adopted by the board in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment as allowed by the state statutory authority as listed above. The Authority's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority.

The Authority's deposits and investments are included on the statement of net assets under the following classifications:

	 2006	 2005
Cash and cash equivalents administered by the		
City of East Lansing for operations	\$ 539,359	\$ 729,593
Investments - At fair value	 245,283	 235,205
Total	\$ 784,642	\$ 964,798

## Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006 and 2005

#### Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

The Authority's cash and investments are subject to risk, which is examined in more detail below:

#### **Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits**

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned to it. The Authority does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At June 30, 2006, the Authority had \$562,624 of bank deposits (certificates of deposit, checking, and savings accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. At June 30, 2005, the Authority had \$647,701 of bank deposits (certificates of deposit, checking, and savings accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The Authority believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the Authority evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

#### **Note 3 - Capital Assets**

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2006 was as follows:

	2005	Additions	Disposals	2006	Depreciable Life - Years
Capital assets not being depreciated -	<b>4</b> 277 216		•	<b>4</b> 277 212	
Land	\$ 277,812	2 \$ -	\$ -	\$ 277,812	-
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Equity in BWL interconnect facility	854,188	-	-	854,188	10
Water treatment plant	7,005,857	7 -	-	7,005,857	10-40
Wells, mains, and equipment	5,691,294	63,079	14,274	5,740,099	10-80
Subtotal	13,551,339	63,079	14,274	13,600,144	
Less accumulated depreciation	(6,467,14	l) <u>(412,831</u> )	(9,840)	(6,870,132)	
Net capital assets being depreciated	7,084,198	349,752)	(4,434)	6,730,012	
Net capital assets	\$ 7,362,010	\$ (349,75 <u>2</u> )	\$ (4,434)	\$ 7,007,824	

## Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006 and 2005

#### **Note 3 - Capital Assets (Continued)**

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2005 was as follows:

	2004	Additions	Disposals	2005	Depreciable Life-Years
Capital assets not being depreciated - Land	\$ 277,812	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 277,812	-
Capital assets being depreciated: Equity in BWL interconnect facility Water treatment plant Wells, mains, and equipment	854,188 6,908,576 5,666,111	- 97,281 25,183	- - -	854,188 7,005,857 5,691,294	10 10-40 10-80
Subtotal	13,428,875	122,464	-	13,551,339	
Less accumulated depreciation	(6,056,410)	(410,731)		(6,467,141)	
Net capital assets being depreciated	7,372,465	(288,267)		7,084,198	
Net capital assets	\$ 7,650,277	<u>\$ (288,267)</u>	<u> </u>	\$ 7,362,010	

#### **Note 4 - BWL Wholesale Water Service Agreement**

The Authority has entered into various agreements throughout the years with the Board of Water and Light of the City of Lansing (BWL) to provide connection and water services to both the City of East Lansing and Meridian Township. In conjunction with these agreements, certain interconnect fees are charged to the Municipalities.

#### Note 5 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Postretirement Benefits

**Plan Description** - The Authority participates in the Michigan Municipal Employees' Retirement System (MERS), an agent, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers all employees of the Authority. MERS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. The Authority represents a division within the actuarial report of the City of East Lansing. MERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for MERS. That report may be obtained by writing to MERS at 1134 Municipal Way, Lansing, MI 48917.

**Funding Policy** - The obligation to contribute to and maintain MERS for these employees was established by the Authority's board of trustees and requires no contribution from the employees.

## Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006 and 2005

# Note 5 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

Annual Pension Costs - For the year ended June 30, 2006, the Authority's annual pension cost of \$50,190 for the plan was equal to the required and actual contribution. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at December 31, 2004, using the entry age normal cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) an 8.0 percent investment rate of return and (b) projected salary increases of 4.5 percent per year. Both (a) and (b) include an inflation assumption of 4.5 percent per year. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. The unfunded actuarial liability is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period is 30 years.

Three-year trend information as of June 30 follows:

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30					
	2004		2005			2006
General Employees' Retirement System:						
Annual pension costs (APC)	\$	36,179	\$	44,419	\$	50,190
Percentage of APC contributed		100%		100%		100%
Net pension obligation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	Actuarial Valuation as of December					
		2003	2004			2005
Actuarial value of assets	<b>\$</b> 1	,282,892	\$ I	,414,585	<b>\$</b> 1	,556,430
Actuarial accrued liability (AAL) (entry age)	I	,288,821	I	,478,013	I	,653,619
Unfunded AAL (UAAL)		5,929		63,428		97,189
Funded ratio		100%		96%		94%
Covered payroll		546,907		565,953		591,111
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll		1%		11%		16%

**Postretirement Benefits** - The Authority will provide health care benefits to various employees in accordance with the personnel rules upon retirement. Currently, the Authority has no retirees. The Authority will also include retirees and their spouses in a commercial health insurance policy, with no contribution required by the participant. During the year, there were no premiums paid as there are no retirees. There is also no advance funding of health insurance for retirees.

## Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006 and 2005

# Note 5 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

**Upcoming Reporting Change** - The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has recently released Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Reporting by Employers for Post-employment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The new pronouncement provides guidance for local units of government in recognizing the cost of retiree health care, as well as any "other" postemployment benefits (other than pensions). The new rules will cause the government-wide financial statements to recognize the cost of providing retiree health care coverage over the working life of the employee, rather than at the time the health care premiums are paid. The new pronouncement is effective for the year beginning July 1, 2008.

#### **Note 6 - Risk Management**

The Authority is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The Authority has purchased commercial insurance for property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and medical benefits provided to employees' claims, and participates in the Michigan Municipal League risk pool program for claims relating to employee injuries (workers' compensation). Settled claims relating to the commercial insurance have not exceeded the amount of insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The Michigan Municipal League risk pool program operates as a common risk-sharing management program for local units of government in Michigan; member premiums are used to purchase commercial excess insurance coverage and to pay member claims in excess of deductible amounts.

#### **Note 7 - Allocation of Net Assets**

	 2006	 2005
City of East Lansing	\$ 2,182,481	\$ 2,356,149
Meridian Township	3,920,897	4,160,061
Unallocated	 1,336,077	 1,489,084
Total	\$ 7,439,455	\$ 8,005,294

---

----

#### **Note 8 - Spent Lime Expense**

Through 2005, the cost of spent lime removal was recorded when incurred. Removal of spent lime was conducted only once every three years. Beginning in 2006, one-third of the estimated three-year cost was accrued to match expenditures with the period of use.